Santa Rosa Junior College Program Resource Planning Process

Philosophy 2015

1.1a Mission

The mission of the Interdisciplinary Studies in Philosophy, Humanities and Religion Department is to provide general education courses for both transfer and occupational students, lower division preparation for majors, and courses for the general public. Our courses explore both western and non-western philosophical, ethical, and religious traditions. A major responsibility is teaching the critical thinking skills necessary for student success and good citizenship.

1.1b Mission Alignment

The Mission of the Philosophy, Humanities and Religion Department aligns with the District's Mission by:

• Providing transferable lower division academic education through programs and courses that maintain high standards of academic excellence and integrity and develop respect for learning in all of our students in an atmosphere of academic freedom.

• Promoting open access and student success by providing comprehensive instructional support services, while challenging students to participate fully in the learning process and take responsibility for their academic success.

- Preparing our students for participation as citizens at the local, national and global levels.
- Promoting awareness of and maintaining sensitivity to ethnic, cultural and gender diversity within our faculty and course offerings.
- Preparing our students for participation as citizens at the local, national and global levels.
- Securing and maintaining a faculty who are knowledgeable and current in their fields.

• Practicing participatory governance through processes that are inclusive and respectful of all participants and in which information and decision-making are shared.

1.1c Description

The Philosophy program, now part of the Philosophy, Humanities and Religion Department offers a broad range of courses designed to provide a general acquaintance with the issues and history of philosophy. Our courses explore both western and non-western philosophical, ethical, and religious traditions. The program is also committed to teaching the critical thinking skills necessary for student success and good citizenship. In all of our courses, faculty in the

program encourage students to examine the arguments of others as well as question their own values and commitments. Students who complete courses in philosophy are well prepared to pursue advanced work in the discipline, apply their philosophical skills in their academic work as well as multiple career settings, and/or employ these skills in an attempt to be responsible citizens. Whether taken to satisfy general education requirements, to pursue a philosophy major, or for personal growth, philosophy courses provide a unique opportunity to enrich one's ability to analyze and evaluate a wide range of concepts and questions faced in everyday life.

1.1d Hours of Office Operation and Service by Location

Chairperson: Michael Aparacio

Administrative Assistant: Lori Derum: Administrative Assistant III Emeritus Service Center (707) 527-4228

Linda Smith Administrative Assistant I Emeritus Service Center (707) 527-4226

Phone: (707)527-4226 and 527-4228 Fax: (707) 522-2755 Email: Iderum@santarosa.edu

Office:

Philosophy faculty offices and mailboxes are in Emeritus Hall at the Santa Rosa Campus and Bertolini Hall at the Petaluma Center. Map

Office Hours: Fall and Spring Semesters: 7:30 AM - 4:30 PM Monday - Friday

Summer semester: 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM Monday - Thursday, closed Friday

Mailing Address: Philosophy Department Santa Rosa Junior College 1501 Mendocino Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95401-4395

1.2 Program/Unit Context and Environmental Scan

The Philosophy Program administers a discussion-oriented and writing-intensive program. Nearly all of its courses contribute to the following rigorous Program SLOs:

1. Identify characteristically philosophical issues

- 2. Identify and critically evaluate philosophical positions
- 3. Analyze and critically evaluate philosophical arguments, including the arguments of prominent philosophers from the history of Western Philosophy

The department applies these objectives and outcomes to its mission to provide 1) general education courses for both transfer and occupational students, 2) lower division preparation for philosophy majors, and 3) courses for the general public.

2.1a Budget Needs

The Philosophy Program's budget remains lean. As a writing intensive program, its primary budgetary need is faculty staffing.

At the same time, the department remains committed to lowering its supplies expenditures even more by exploring alternatives to printing and graphics expenditures. Most instructors in the department have made extensive use of digital media through CATE and/or Moodle to reduce copy and printing costs.

We do, however, have a significant need for media and publications funds. For example, we need to update many of the video materials we use in our Critical Thinking courses, as most of our resources are outdated. We are also very interested in securing a subscripton to the American Philosophical Association's journal "Teaching Philosophy."

2.1b Budget Requests

| Rank | Location | SP | Μ | Amount | Brief Rationale |
|------|----------|----|----|------------|--|
| 0000 | ALL | 02 | 01 | \$3,750.00 | We would very much like to include our Adjunct instructors more in our department meetings and work retreats. Due to the recent merger of the Philosophy program with Religious Studies and Humanities, it is vital that we meet periodically to address issues of coordination and shared governance between the programs. It is important that Adjunct instructors |
| | | | | | are more involved in these meetings since matters are addressed that affect their interests directly. We would like to provide compensation to our Adjunct colleagues to attend these meetings (at least one per year) at a cost of three hours per instructor. |
| 0000 | ALL | 02 | 01 | \$2,000.00 | We need to update our media holdings. For example, most of the film and other media we use in our critical thinking courses are more than a decade old. |
| 0000 | ALL | 01 | 01 | \$234.00 | "Teaching Philosophy" is a journal produced by the APA that addresses the special pedagogical challenges in our discipline. It is extremely helpful to faculty in maintaining currency and serving our diverse student body |

2.2a Current Classifed Positions

| Position | Hr/Wk | Mo/Yr | Job Duties |
|----------|-------|-------|------------|
| N/A | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

2.2b Current Management/Confidential Positions

| Position | Hr/Wk | Mo/Yr | Job Duties |
|------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Department Chair | 20.00 | 12.00 | The primary duties of the Department Chair are |
| | | | addressed in Articles 13 and 17 of the AFA contract. |
| | | | In addition to these, the Department Chair in |
| | | | Interdisciplinary Studies in Philosophy, Humanities, |
| | | | and Religion works to develop, integrate and |
| | | | steward the coordination of the diverse programs in |

| | our department. Facilitating communication within |
|--|--|
| | the newly merged programs, and managing the |
| | fallout from the merger in general, has proven very |
| | time consuming, but essential, to the functioning of |
| | the new department. |

2.2c Current STNC/Student Worker Positions

| Position | Hr/Wk | Mo/Yr | Job Duties |
|--------------------|-------|-------|---|
| Instructional Aide | 8.00 | 10.00 | In-house tutorial support for Critical Thinking courses on the Santa Rosa campus. The program provides a tutor who works with faculty to tutor students within the department's common area. |

2.2d Adequacy and Effectiveness of Staffing

Given the increased workload created by new college requirements (including SLO's, this Program Review Process, the increasing complexities of the curriculum process involving at least four distinct disciplines, TMC's, etc, and most importantly, coordination of programs and communication with faculty) reassigned time for Department Chairs needs to be increased. The merger of the Philosophy program with Humanities and Religious Studies has exacerbated these complexities. For the Chair to perform these duties adequately, 60% FTE release time would be appropriate. The current reassigned time assessed for our department is not proportionate with the responsible fulfilment of duties associated with the job. Following the merger of Philosophy, Humanities, and Religious Studies, the department has adopted protocols that demand the creation of coordinators to steward our various programs. These protocols were developed to maintain disciplinary independence and integrity. Our hope was that these protocols would help to alleviate the above-mentioned complexities. This has proven difficult to sustain in that we lack needed funding to award appropriate reassign time for these tasks. The complexities in question have been vastly underestimated and adaptation to the merger, even after several years, has been arduous and fraught with difficiulties. The increased time necessary to effectively communicate and execute departmental functions under these protocols is a significant drain on the Chair's time and regularly exceeds the aloted reassign time for the position. The protocols require some delegation of duties to disciplinary coordinators, e.g. pertinent the development of the schedule of classes, maintaining and updating the curriculum, completion of the PRPP, ensuring the disciplinary integrity of the programs, etc. Part of the department chair release time has been distributed to "coordinators" as compensation for this work, but as our alotment of release time has steadily declined, this has proven unsustainable. The department is deliberating as to the formula by which reassign time will be fairly distributed to program "coordinators." In the most recent year, no release time has been available to facilitate this effort, and so the Chair (currently a Philosophy instructor) has had to undertake the unwieldy role of developing schedules and managing curriculum for disciplines in which he lacks appropirate educational and experiential expertise.

The department's STNC funding for Instructional Aide position (providing tutorial support for Critical Thinking) has been an extremely effective and cost-efficient way to help basic skills students and to increase retention and success among our students on the Santa Rosa campus. Our current tutor is both highly qualified in the subject matter and also fluent in Spanish. This makes him a highly valued person in our department, and a huge help to students (especially those working to overcome difficulties adapting to the English language). The current tutor's fluency in spanish also provides much needed support for our students whose primary language is spanish. Given the dramatic and continuing changes in the college demographics, including

larger number of basic skills and second language learners, this position is a must for our program that entail complex reading and writing assignments. The department would like to increase its funding to provide an instructional aide, both increasing tutorial services on the Santa Rosa campus and extending those services to the Petaluma campus. See section 2.2e for details.

2.2e Classified, STNC, Management Staffing Requests

| Rank | Location | SP | Μ | Current Title | Proposed Title | Туре |
|------|----------|----|----|---------------|-------------------|------|
| 0001 | Petaluma | 00 | 00 | | Instructional Aid | STNC |

2.3a Current Contract Faculty Positions

| Position | Description |
|------------------------------|---|
| Philosophy Instructor - 100% | This instructor teaches 5 sections per semester. |
| Philosophy Instructor - 100% | This instructor teaches 5 sections per semester and has just completed their third year |
| | of tenure review. |
| Philosophy Instructor - 100% | This instructor teaches 4 sections per semester and earned approximately 20% |
| | reassign time as Faculty co-Chair of DTREC |
| Philosophy Instructor - 100% | This instructor teaches 3 sections per semester and earned 40% reassigned time as |
| | Departmnt Chair |

2.3b Full-Time and Part-Time Ratios

| Discipline | FTEF Reg | % Reg Load | FTEF Adj | % Adj Load | Description |
|------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Philosophy | 17.0000 | 38.0000 | 28.0000 | 62.0000 | The department's FT/PT ratios was 38%(FT) / 62% (PT). However, on of our full-time instructors is transitioning to 1/2 Philosophy and 1/2 Religion Studies. So our projected FT/PT ratio is 33%(FT)/ 67%(PT) |

2.3c Faculty Within Retirement Range

The Philosophy Program recently had three contract faculty retirements. We presently have one faculty within retirement range, and one will be in three years.

These retirements are proving hard for the department to function. We are relying on adjuncts to teach core Philosophy courses, including History of Philosophy and Logic. We have held back the development of new courses in Existentialism and Business Ethics. We also have relied on contract faculty to work beyond their contracted five hours a week in order to complete basic tasks such as evaluations.

This strain is compounded when one of our contract faculty participate in a sabbatical or college service. One of our full-time instructors in Philosophy recently went on sabbatical, and one is about to go on sabbatical. The Department Chair qualifies for sabbitcal. However, he is not applying because the department already is overburden.

Similarly, the department's sole contract faculty member in Religious Studies was elected Academic Senate President. While we have adjucnt to teach his sections, this college service will mean other factulty are burden with department duties.

In short, because of recent retirements, the current balance of full-time faculty in our department is unsustainable.

2.3d Analysis of Faculty Staffing Needs and Rationale to Support Requests

Current Program Make-up

The Philosophy Program is a writing intensive program -- 10 out of our 12 courses (not counting Phil 49) are writing intensive – with an average class size of 30 throughout the District. All 12 of our courses directly contribute to the college's mission to provide lower division academic education for the purpose of transfer to a four year institution.

Our program's full-time instructors contribute to the college's shared governance by serving on Academic Senate, CRC, Co-Chairing Cluster Tech Review, Co-Chairing D-TRC, and serving as Vice President of AFA.

Our program's current FT/PT ratio is:

Contract Sections: 38% Adjunct Sections: 62%

However, one full-time instructor is transitioning to teaching ½ Philosophy and ½ Religion. After this transition the program's make-up is projected to be:

Contract Sections: 33% Adjunct Sections: 67%

This same instructor is retirement age and plans to retire in roughly 5-6 years.

Current Program Needs

As a result of the program's inadequate number of Contract Faculty, we are relying on adjunct instructors to teach its Phil 4 (Logic), Phil 5 (Critical Thinking for Reading and Writing), Phil 20 (History of Ancient Philosophy) and Phil 21 (History of Modern Philosophy) sections. These are core courses, central to our function as a transfer program and for our majors. While the adjuncts teaching these courses are commendable, it is not easy finding adjunct instructors who can teach these courses; it is not equivalent to having full-time faculty teaching the course; and two of the courses are taught by an adjunct who is retirement age and planning to retire in the next year; and the others are taught by adjuncts who are seeking full-time positions elsewhere.

This tenuous reliance on adjuncts has persisted since the program had three retirements four years ago, and will increase now that one of our remaining full-timers is transitioning to $\frac{1}{2}$ Philosophy and $\frac{1}{2}$ Religion.

The program has had two adjunct hiring searches since June 2014, and we have not found an adjunct instructor who is ready to teach Phil 4, Phil 5, Phil 20, or Phil 21.

The program's predicament is impacting curriculum development. While we presently can stay current on our course outline reviews, the program is holding back on developing Existentialism and Business Ethics courses because its recent adjunct searches have not produced an adequate pool. Furthermore, with the expected retirement of the adjunct instructor teaching Phil 20 and Phil 21, a full-timer will need to reduce her or his college service in order to teach these courses.

Staffing Requests

Given its current and projected FT/PT ratio, and the tenuous conditions it creates, the Philosophy Program is requesting two full-time positions:

One full-time instructor who can teach our program's core courses, especially its Phil 4, Phil 5, Phil 20, and Phil 21 courses. This request was ranked number #2 by our cluster.

One full-time instructor who can teach Philosophy of Religion and Religion courses, including Phil 8 and Phil 11. This request was ranked #5 by our cluster.

2.3e Faculty Staffing Requests

| Rank | Location | SP | Μ | Discipline | SLO Assessment Rationale | | | |
|------|------------|----|----|------------|--|--|--|--|
| 0001 | Santa Rosa | 02 | 01 | Philosophy | See analysis of faculty staffing needs | | | |
| 0002 | Santa Rosa | 02 | 01 | Philosophy | See analysis of faculty staffing needs | | | |

2.4b Rational for Instructional and Non-Instructional Equipment, Technology, and Software

2.4c Instructional Equipment and Software Requests

| Rank | Location | SP | Μ | Item Description | Qty | Cost Each | Total Cost | Requestor | Room/Space | Contact |
|------|----------|----|---|------------------|-----|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|---------|

2.4d Non-Instructional Equipment, Software, and Technology Requests

| Rank Location SP M Item Description Qty Cost Each Total Cost Requestor Room/Space | Contact |
|---|---------|
|---|---------|

2.5a Minor Facilities Requests

| Ra | ank | Location | SP | Μ | Time Frame | Building | Room Number | Est. Cost | Description |
|----|------|------------|----|----|------------|----------|-------------|-----------|--|
| 0 | 0001 | Santa Rosa | 00 | 00 | Urgent | Emeritus | 1518 | \$50.00 | Wall clock was removed four years ago after it broke entirely. Now |
| | | | | | | | | | we have an ugly hole in the wall. We need a new clock. |

2.5b Analysis of Existing Facilities

Our classrooms are on the first floor of Emeritus Hall. Each classroom has desks to accommodate disabled students. Our offices are also very conveniently accessible to our nearby classrooms.

Need to mitigate the very heavy door in Emeritus 1515 for disability access.

3.1 Develop Financial Resources

None at this time.

3.2 Serve our Diverse Communities

Our recruitment of faculty is generally done by Human Resources. When reviewing applications and interviewing applicants, sensitivity to diversity is an important consideration for us and is included in both our screening and interview process.

Several of our classes (particularly Comparative Religions, Asian Philosophy, Philosophy of Peace and Nonviolent Action, and Contemporary Moral Problems) are expressly designed to promote knowledge of, and respect for, cultural diversity.

3.3 Cultivate a Healthy Organization

Our principle method of professional development is to engage in regular collegial discussion within the department regarding our discipline and our teaching. We meet monthly to discuss such matters and we also engage in regular conversation through email. Several members of our department have been regular facilitators at district PDA events. We have also regularly participated in such events, both during mandatory PDA days and throughout the year through FLEX approved activities related to Reading Apprentiship, New Faculty Orientation, AFA sponsored trainings on contractual matters, general pedagogy, Strategic Planning, and accreditation.

3.4 Safety and Emergency Preparedness

Lori Derum is our current safety coordinator.

Following the retirement of Steve Bernstien in spring 2012, we are currently seeking a voluteer to serve as our replacement faculty safety coordinator.

3.5 Establish a Culture of Sustainability

Philosoophy faculty members continue to contribute to the IEE committee, whose focus is sustainability and environmental protection & education.

Sustainability initiatives this year included continuation of our recycling program. Included in this effort is an educational introduction to and facilitation of the sorting of trash in our classrooms. It appears that the bulk of trash that continues to be produced (i.e., which cannot be recycled) is disposable coffee cups. To address this waste, Carla Grady will be offering non-dispoable travel mugs at a discount price at the beginning of the semester and asking students to avoid purchasing wasteful single-use coffee cups, and encouraging other faculty in other departments to join this endeavor. It is hoped that SRJC can one day achieve zero waste production, perhaps with the Philosophy Dept. taking the lead!

Another innovation we have continued this year is the use of non-disposable cups at PDA Day. Carla purchased many ceramic cups for colleagues to borrow for the day, and asked many colleagues to bring their own mug rather than waste disposable cups. A significant number of staff & faculty joined the effort to avoid the waste of resources. Many people expressed gratitude that this action was finally being taken, and our first attempt to push the culture of the college towards sustainability on PDA Day might be considered a success.

Philosophy Dept. FT faculty have switched from using disposable white board markers to using refillable markers, purchased with departmental equipment funds. This has reduced the creation of non-recyclable plastic waste. Each FT faculty member now has a set of multi-colored refillable markers. Adjunct faculty are encouraged to use them as well.

Carla also bought several cloth hand towels for use in the Emeritus downstairs faculty bathroom, which has offset a significant amount of paper towel use and reduced the waste of resources. These towels are changed daily.

Some faculty members have also been using Moodle and CATE (either exclusively or mostly) for all essay assignments and written reports in all of her classes, resulting in nearly 100% reduction of paper waste. As a department, we're working to reduce paper use in all areas of our operations.

Also we determined that our department office printer is capable of producing duplex copies, so all faculty are being encouraged to make double-sided printouts whenever feasible.

4.1a Course Student Learning Outcomes Assessment

All Philosophy course outlines identify SLOs. The following table documents the Philosophy SLO Assessments that have been performed since 2010 and are scheduled to be performed between now and 2020:

| | | 201 0- 201 1 | 201 1- 201 2 | 201 2- 201 3 | 201 3- 201 4 | 2014- 2015 | 2015- 2016 | 2016- 2017 | 2017- 2018 | 201 8- 201 9 | 2019- 2020 |
|------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Cours e | SLO | | | | | | | | | | |
| PHIL 3 | 1. Analyze arguments to identify and explain the | | | | Sprin g | | | | | | Plann ed |

| | claims and | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2. Evaluate arguments to determine whether claims are adequately supported | Sprin g | | | | | Plann ed | | |
| | 3. Compose cogent written arguments | | | | Plann ed | | | | |
| PHIL 4 | 1. Reduce complex English sentences into the simpler component parts | | | Sprin g | | | | | Plann ed |
| | Translate typical English connectives | | | Sprin g | | | | | Plann ed |
| | 3. Perform valid proofs for valid arguments using the statement logic | | Fall | | | | Plann ed | | |
| | 4. Perform valid proofs in the predicate logic using four additional quantifier rules as extension of the statement logic | | | | Plann ed | | | | |
| PHIL 5 | 1. Analyze extended arguments to identify and explain their claims and premises. | Sprin g | | | | Plann ed | | | |
| | 2. Evaluate extended arguments to determine whether their claims are adequately supported | Sprin g | | | | Plann ed | | | |
| | 3. Compose cogent written argumentative essays | Sprin g | | | | Plann ed | | | |
| PHIL 6 | 1. Recognize characteristic philosophical issues | Fall | | Sprin g | | | | | Plann ed |
| | 2. Identify and critically evaluate representative philosophical positions | Fall | | | Plann ed | | | | |
| PHIL 7 | 1. Form well reasoned judgments | | Sprin g | | | | | Plann ed | |

| 1 | about | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | philosophical arguments | | | | | | | |
| | concerning | | | | | | | |
| | moral issues 2. Apply philosophical theories to current moral issues | | Sprin g | | | | Plann ed | |
| PHIL 8 | 1. Identify major tenets of the world's main religions | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Critical analyze the main philosophical concepts of the major world religions | | | | | Plann ed | | |
| | 3. Articulate the diversity of religious practices throughout the world including for religions other than those within which they were raised or which they may currently practice | | Sprin g | | | | Plann ed | |
| PHIL 9 | 1. Form well- reasoned judgments about philosophical arguments concerning government and its relationship to individuals | | | | Plann ed | | | |
| | 2. Apply philosophical theories to current political issues | | | Fall | | | | Plann ed |
| PHIL 10 | 1. Students will be able to form reasoned and well-informed judgments on current issues involving the development of peace and the nonviolent resolution of conflict both within and between individuals and social groups | Fall | | Sprin g | | | | Plann ed |

| | 2. Students will be able to develop philosophical methods for the understanding of and participation in the social and civic environment insofar as such engagement pertains to the development of peace and the nonviolent resolution of conflict in a global environment | Fall | | | | Plann ed | | |
|------------|---|------------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| PHIL 11 | 1. Articulate basic tenets of the Vedic, early Buddhist, and Jainist philosophies of ancient India; Taoism and Confucianism of ancient China; and the Shinto and Japanese Buddhism of Japan | Sprin g | | | | Plann ed | | |
| PHIL | Compare and contrast several Euro- American/West ern ethical and epistemological assumptions with similar fundamental assumptions in ancient Asian/Eastern thought Describe | | | Plann ed | | | | |
| 12 | and critically evaluate theories in environmental ethics and aesthetics | | | Plann ed | | | | |
| | 2. Describe and critically evaluate sociopolitical theories in environmental philosophy | | | | Plann ed | | | |
| | 3. Explain and critically evaluate environmental | Sprin g | | | | Plann ed | | |

| Princeprises represented in selected environmental philosophies to current environmental issues Plann ed PHIL 20 Describe the philosophies in the ancient through philosophers in the ancient through philosophers is traditions; pre- Socrates, Socrates, Plan, Artistotle and the major Hellensitic and Medieval philosophers Plann ed 21 Critically evers of the major philosophers Plann ed 21 Sprin philosophers of the philosophers Plann ed 21 Sprin philosophers Plann ed 21 Sprin philosophers Sprin gene 21 Describe the philosophers Sprin gene 22 Sprin gene Plann ed 23 Critically evers Sprin gene 24 Describe and explain the philosophers Plann ed 21 Sprin philosophers Sprin gene 22 Critically evers Sprin gene 24 Critically evers Sprin gene 25 Critically evers Sprin gene 26 Critically evers Sprin gene | | philosophics | | | 1 | | | | I | 1 | |
|---|------|------------------|-------|--|---|-------|-------|-------|---|---|--|
| selected religious and spiritual re | | philosophies | | | | | | | | | |
| religious and traditions throughout the world Image: selected environmental philosophies to current environmental issues Image: selected environmental philosophies to current environmental philosophies in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socrates, Plan, Aristotle and the major Hellensitic and Medieval traditions: pre- socrates, Plan, ed Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers views Plann ed Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers views Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| spiritual traditions throughout the world Image: spiritual world Image: spiritual world 4. Apply environmental philosophies to current environmental issues Image: spiritual philosophies to current environmental issues Image: spiritual philosophies to current philosophies to philosophies in the ancient through medieval philosophers Image: spiritual philosophers PHIL 20 1. Describe the philosophers in the ancient through medieval philosophers Image: spiritual philosophers 21 Analyza twees Plann ed 23. Critically wews of the philosophers Image: spiritual philosophers 24. Critically wews of pointers Sprin g 21 1. Describe ad explain the philosophers 21 1. Describe the philosophers 21 1. Describe ad explain the philosophers 21 Sprin g 21 Sprin g 21 Critically evaluate the philosophers 3. Critically evaluate the major arguments and wewpoints of this period 3. Critically evaluate the major arguments and wewpoints of 4. Opties 3. Critically evaluate the major arguments and wewpoints of | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Itaditions traditions World World 4. Apply selected environmental Pilann environmental Base environmental Pilann environmental Pilann good Philosophics to current Describe the ancient threadilons philosophiers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socratics, Socratics, Socratics, Socratics, Socratics, Socratics, Socratics, Plann ed developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Socratics studied Sprin good Plann ed Sprin good Plann ed Sprin good Plann ed Plann | | | | | | | | | | | |
| throughout the world Image: selected selected senvironmental philosophies to current environmental issues Plann ed PHIL 1. Describe the philosophical views of the major traditions: pre-socratics, Socratics, Socrat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| world world world 4. Apply selected environmental philosophies to current Plann 20 Describe the major philosophies in the ancient Plann 20 PHIL Plann 20 Plannite Plann ed Plannite Plannite ed Plannite Plannite < | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Apply evidential philosophies to current environmental insues Plann ed PHIL 20 1. Describe the philosophical views of the major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socrates; Plato, Aristotle and the major Hellensitic and Medieval philosophers' views Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed 3. Crtically eveluate the arguments and viewson's the philosophers s studied Plann ed PHIL 21 Describe promover philosophers Plann ed PHIL 21 Describe promover philosophers Plann ed PHIL 21 Describe promover philosophers Plann ed PHIL 21 Describe philosophers Plann ed PHIL 21 Describe promover philosophers Plann ed PHIL 21 Describe promover philosophers Plann ed PHIL 21 Crtically philosophers Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| selected selected philosophies to Plann current environmental issues philosophies to philosophies to philosophies to tissues philosophies to tissues philosophies in traditions: pre- Socrates, philosophies Socrates, philosophiers Plato, Aristotle philosophers' adveloped as a philosophers' views developed as a response to the philosophers views developed as a redecessors' views views philosophers studied philosophers studied sprin philosophers philosophers views sprin views philosophers studied sprin predecessors' pilosophers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| philosophies to current environmental issues prian ed PHIL 20 Describe the philosophical views of the major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socrates, Plato, Aristotie and the major Hellenistic and Medieval Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed Plann ed 3. Critically views of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe major philosophers Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers of the philosophers of views Plann ed 9 Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of the Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | selected | | | | | | | | | |
| philosophies to environmental issues ed PHIL 20 1. Describe the philosophical views of the major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 1. Describe and explain the philosophers views Sprin g 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed 2. Critically views Sprin g 2. Critically views Sprin g 9 Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | Plann | | | | | |
| current issues environmental issues environmental issues PHIL 20 1. Describe philosophical views of the major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions; pre- Socrates, Socr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Issues Image: Construct of the philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions; pre-Socratics, Socrates, Plato, Aristolie and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers in the arcicult traditions; pre-Socratics, Socrates, Plato, Aristolie and the major Hellenistic and the Hellenisthellenistic and the Hellenistic and the Hellenistic and t | | | | | | ou | | | | | |
| PHIL 20 1. Describe the philosophical views of the major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socrates, Plato, Aristotie and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers views developees Plann ed 9 Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe major arguments and views of the philosophers of the the the the the the the the the the | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 the philosophical views of the major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socrates, Plato, Aristotie and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 2 Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3 Critically evaluate the arguments and views of the philosophers Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe major arguments and views of the philosophers of the philosophers of the philosophers of studied Sprin g | L | | | | | | | | | | |
| Philosophical views of the major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socrates, Plann Plato, Aristotie and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Plann 2. Analyze Plann how these Plann philosophers Plann 2. Analyze Plann how these Plann philosophers Plann views developed as a response to the response to the their predecessors' views Views developed as a Plann edaluate the and explain the philosophers Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann evaluate the Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| views of the major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socratics, Plato. Aristotle and the major Hellensitic and Medieval philosophers Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers studied Plann ed 9 Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers studied Plann ed 9 Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin 9 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed PHIL 21 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed | 20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| major philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socratics, Socrates, Plato, Aristotie and the major Hellensitic and Medieval philosophers Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of the philosophers Sprin g PHIL 21 2. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers of the philosophers of the philosophers Sprin g PHIL 21 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Sprin g | | | | | | | | | | | |
| philosophers in the ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socratics, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin 9 PHIL 21 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Sprin 9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ihe ancient through medieval traditions: pre- Socrates, Socrates, Socrates, Socrates, Plato, Aristotie and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of this period Plann ed 1. Describe and explain the philosophers studied Sprin g 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period 2. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of this period Sprin g 21 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of | | | | | | | | | | | |
| through medieval traditions: pre-Socratics, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of this period Sprin g PHIL 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 2. Analyze how these of the their their their their spredecessors' views Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of this period Sprin g PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and views of prominent g Sprin g PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent g Sprin g PHIL evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of this period Sprin g Plann ed PHIL evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of this period Sprin g Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| medieval traditions: pre- Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers of the philosophers of this period Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g g Plann ed evaluate the arguments and views of prominent philosophical views Sprin g g Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of this period g Plann ed evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of | | | | | | | DI | | | | |
| Itraditions: pre-Socratics, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Plato, Aristotle and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers 2. Analyze how these philosophers views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views views views views studied Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 21 Plann ed 21 Critically evaluate the major of prominent philosophers of this period 21 Sprin g 21 Critically evaluate the major of prominent philosophers of this period 21 Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers of this period | | medieval | | | | | | | | | |
| Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Plann 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of the philosophers of this period Sprin g PHIL 21 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and views of Sprin g | | traditions: pre- | | | | | ea | | | | |
| Plato, Aristotile and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the ed their predecessors' views 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and arguments and views and explain the philosophers studied Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed philosophers studied Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed philosophical views g g Plann evaluate the and explain the philosophical g g Plann evaluate the major arguments and plann evaluate the major arguments and plann evaluate the major arguments and plann eva | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and the major Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Image: constraint of the set of t | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Hellenistic and Medieval philosophers Plann 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g PHIL 21 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Sprin g | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Medieval philosophers Plann ed 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g § Sprin g Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| philosophers Image: state | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Analyze how these philosophers' views Plann ed developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 2. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 2. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed PHIL end 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of g Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| how these philosophers' views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 21 2. Critically evaluate the and explain the philosophers of this period Sprin g 21 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Sprin g | | | | | | | | | | | |
| philosophers' views Plann Plann developed as a response to the Plann ed their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the Plann Plann ed evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed ed PHIL 1. Describe and explain the Sprin Plann ed ed 21 1. Describe Sprin g Plann ed ed ed 21 2. Critically Sprin g Plann ed ed ed 2. Critically evaluate the spriod Plann ed ed ed ed gruments and viewpoints of g Plann ed e | | | | | | | | | | | |
| views developed as a response to the their predecessors' views Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin 9 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Sprin 9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| developed as a response to the their predecessors' views ed ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed 21. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of this period Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed 21. PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of this period Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed 22. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of this period Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| response to the their predecessors' views a. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of this period Sprin g Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and views of this period Sprin g Plann ed PHIL 21 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and views of this period Sprin g PHIL 21 Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| their predecessors' views a. Plann ed 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | ed | | | | |
| views Image: state interview of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | their | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Critically evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Image: Plann ed Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Image: Plann ed Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| evaluate the arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studied Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g 2 Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed | ļ | | | | | | | | | | |
| arguments and viewpoints of the philosophers studiedPlann edPlann edPHIL 211. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this periodSprin gPlann ed2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints ofSprin gPlann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| viewpoints of the philosophers studied viewpoints of the philosophers studied Image: Plann ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the philosophers studied the philosophers studied ed PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g 2 Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed | | | | | | | Plann | | | | |
| philosophers studied philosophers studied philosophers and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| studied Image: constraint of prominent philosophers of this period Spring Image: constraint of prominent philosophers of this period Spring Image: constraint of philosophers of this period Spring | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PHIL 21 1. Describe and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed Plann ed | | studied | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 and explain the philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Plann ed Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed Plann ed Plann ed | PHIL | | | | 1 | | | | h | 1 | |
| philosophical views of prominent philosophers of this period Sprin g Plann ed 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| views of prominent philosophers of this period 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of | - · | philosophical | Corio | | | | | Diana | | | |
| of prominent of philosophers of image: constraint of this period 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of | | | | | | | | | | | |
| this period Image: Constraint of the second secon | | | Э | | | | | eu | | | |
| 2. Critically evaluate the major arguments and viewpoints of | | | | | | | | | | | |
| evaluate the major major Plann arguments and ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| major arguments and Plann viewpoints of ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| arguments and Plann ed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| viewpoints of ed | | | | | | | Plann | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | eu | | | | |
| philosophers | | | | | | | | | | | |
| studied | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PHIL 1. Expand | PHIL | | | | 1 | | | | h | 1 | |
| 49 philosophical | | | | | | | | | | | |
| knowledge | | knowledge | | | | | | | | | |
| through self- | | through self- | | | | | | | | | |
| designed study | | designed study | | | | | | | | | |

4.1b Program Student Learning Outcomes Assessment

The Philosophy Department has established the following Program SLOs to be applied to its mission to provide 1) general education courses for both transfer and occupational students, 2) lower division preparation for philosophy majors, and 3) courses for the general public:

1) Identify characteristically philosophical issues

2) Identify and critically evaluate philosophical positions

3) Analyze and critically evaluate philosophical arguments, including the arguments of prominent philosophers from the history of Western Philosophy

| Туре | Name | Student Assessment | Assessment Results Analyzed | Change Implemented |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Implemented | | |
| Course | PHIL 3 Critical Thinking | Fall 2010 | Spring 2011 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 4 Introduction to Symbol | Spring 2014 | Fall 2014 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 5 Critical Thinking/Writi | Spring 2014 | Fall 2014 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 6 Intro to Philosophy | Spring 2014 | Fall 2014 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 7 Contemporary Moral Issu | Spring 2012 | Fall 2012 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 8 Comparative Religion | Spring 2012 | Fall 2012 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 9 Political Philosophy | Fall 2013 | Spring 2014 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 10 Phil of Peace and Nonv | Spring 2014 | Fall 2014 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 11 Intro to Asian Phil | Fall 2010 | Spring 2011 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 12 Environmental Phil | Fall 2010 | Spring 2011 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 20 Hist. West. Phil: Anc | Spring 2014 | Fall 2014 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 21 Hist. West. Phil: Mod | Spring 2014 | Fall 2014 | N/A |
| Course | PHIL 49 Indep. Study in Phil | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Certificate/Major | Philosophy | Fall 2013 | Fall 2013 | N/A |

4.1c Student Learning Outcomes Reporting

4.2a Key Courses or Services that address Institutional Outcomes

| Course/Service | 1a | 1b | 1c | 2a | 2b | 2c | 2d | - 3a | 3b | 4a | 4b | 5 | 6a | 6b | 6c | 7 |
|------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|---|
| Philosophy 10 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 11 | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 12 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х |
| Philosophy 20 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х |
| Philosophy 21 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х |
| Philosophy 3 | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 3 (online) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 3 (web- based) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 4 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х |
| Philosophy 49 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 5 | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 6 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 7 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 7 (web- based) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 8 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Philosophy 9 | | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х |

4.2b Narrative (Optional)

In addition to contributing to its course Student Learning Outcomes and Program Student Learning Outcomes, the Philosophy Department directly and indirectly contributes to the district's Institutional Student Learning Outcomes.

First and foremost, the department's Course SLOs and Program SLOs directly contribute to the district's efforts to teach Critical Analysis; and, with only one exception, our courses' learning objectives are writing intensive and discussion oriented, thus directly contributing to the district's efforts to teach students to Read and Write at the College Level, Listen Actively and Respectfully, and Speak and Effectively.

Second, our Phil 8 and Phil 11 courses' Student Learning Outcomes directly contribute to the district's efforts to teach students to Recognize and Understand Diversity, to Practice Respectful Intercultural Diversity, and to Recognize and Understand Cultural Traditions; and while our other course's SLOs do not directly contribute to these Institutional Student Learning Outcomes, the department is committed to incorporating class examples and discussions which indirectly teach them.

Third, the intensive and rigorous nature of the department's learning objectives and outcomes as they are applied to its mission indirectly contributes to a number of the district's Institutional Student Learning Outcomes.

For example, most of our courses teach students to identify, analyze, and evaluate one's *own assumptions*. While an intensive and rigorous educational endeavor, it indirectly teaches students to Develop Self-Awareness and Confidence, as well as Appreciate the Value of Lifelong Learning.

In addition, most of our courses teach students to identify, analyze, and evaluate one's *own assumptions*, in part, by imagining alternatives to one's own assumptions. As such, most of the Philosophy Department's courses teach students to Creatively Respond to Ideas and Information.

In addition, given that identifying, analyzing, and evaluating one's assumptions involves being able to take responsibility for one's beliefs and the ways one's beliefs form guiding assumptions for one's actions, most of the department's courses teach students to Understand and Demonstrate Responsibility.

Similarly, many of our Critical Thinking courses teach students to apply these abilities to one's own assumptions about advertising and/or the news media, including commercial advertising and news examples dealing with health issues. As such, many of the department's courses teach students to Maintain and Improve Health.

Fourth, the Philosophy Department continues to teach students to Utilize Technology. We continue to offer 12 online Phil 3 sections; and during the Spring 2009 semester the department started offering four (4) web-based Phil 3 sections and one (1) web-based Phil 7 section. The department also plans to offer a hybrid evening course which meets in a classroom for two (2) hours a week and offers additional instruction online. And Seven (7) of the department's instructors, including three (3) full-time instructors, incorporate online assignments and resources into their classes; and the department has developed a range of classroom activities utilizing the media equipment in rooms 1518 and 1520.

While the department does not have any data about its web-based sections, it continues to find that students who complete online Philosophy 3 sections perform at levels entirely consistent with their counterparts in face-to-face sections. At the same time, we find significantly higher rates of attrition in online sections of Philosophy 3 than in the Philosophy 3 program as a whole. The institution of tutorial support for the Philosophy 3 program is helping to address the rate of attrition.

Lastly, the Philosophy Department is proud of its contributions to the district's mission to teach transfer students. While the Philosophy Department does not have reliable data about the success of its transfer students -- including students who have taken multiple philosophy courses and philosophy majors -- after transferring to another college or university, in the last five years philosophy students have transferred as Philosophy majors to, among others schools, University of California, Berkeley, University of California, Davis, University of California, Los Angeles, St. John's in Anapolis, and Boston College; and, after earning her degree at St. John's College, one philosophy student has continued to study Law at New York University; while, after earning his degree from University of California, Davis, another student currently is pursuing a Ph.D. in Philosophy at Yale University. Finally, the Education Testing Service's most recent data shows philosophy students, in general, average the highest verbal and analytic G.R.E. scores, average the 14th highest quantitative scores, and average the second highest quantitative scores among non-science students (See

http://www.ets.org/Media/Tests/GRE/pdf/994994.pdf, p.p.18-20).

5.0 Performance Measures

It is the considered opinion of the Philosophy Department that objective performance standards such as Average Class Size, Enrollment Efficiency, Retention, Instructional Productivity, and Student Course Completion are best analyzed and assessed by addressing the significance of a department's learning objectives and outcomes as they are applied to its Mission. With this in mind, this report interprets and assesses the Philosophy Department's Performance Measures using the following three guiding principles: *First*, with only one exception, our classes are writing intensive and discussion oriented. *Second*, most of our courses focus on improving *all* of the Department's intensive and rigorous Program SLOs:

- 4. Identify characteristically philosophical issues
- 5. Identify and critically evaluate philosophical positions
- 6. Analyze and critically evaluate philosophical arguments, including the arguments of prominent philosophers from the history of Western Philosophy

Third, the Department applies these objectives and outcomes to its comprehensive Mission to provide 1) general education courses for both transfer and occupational students, 2) lower division preparation for philosophy majors, and 3) courses for the general public.

5.1 Effective Class Schedule: Course Offerings, Times, Locations, and Delivery Modes (annual)

Offering a balanced schedule of courses and addressing student demand has been difficult in the wake of recent schedule cuts and the depletion of our available Contract faculty. Given this climate, the Philosophy Program offers as balanced schedule of courses in terms of the

locations, times and variety of sections as can be expected. We also offer a limited number of online sections.

There has been constant and growing demand for our PHIL 3 and PHIL 5 Critical Thinking courses that we have struggled to meet due to reduced sections required by the budget cuts. We anticipate this problem to decline with the introducation of new sections in the coming academic year. In each semester during 2012-2013, and again in spring 2015, the majority of our Critical Thinking instructors had to turn many eager students away despite the fact that many instructors add students well beyond the established class limits. We are not meeting student demand. Given that Critical Thinking is a required course for earning an AA degree, there is an urgent need to restore our offerings as soon as the budget permits. We have also been forced to cut our offerings of several popular courses, in some cases by only offering them every other semester instead of every semester. These courses (PHIL 4, 9, 10, 11, & 12) fill easily whenever they are offered. Philosophy 12 is a critical course for students majoring in environmental studies, and so we proposed increased offerings of this course for fall 2014. Each of these courses satisfies an area of general ed and is transferable. We clearly need to increase our offerings of these courses or at least return them to the number of sections offered previously. Again, with increases to the schedule for academic year 2015-2016 we hope this will be acheived. However, this will depend largely on our securing additional full-time faculty. Also popular general courses and courses at the core of the Philosophy major, which could readily fill need to be returned to their prior numbers: we need to offer more sections of PHIL 6, 7, & 8.

The Philosophy Program's Enrollment Efficiency, Average Class Size, and Instructional Productivity figures remained steady and showed some increases. Despite heavy cuts to our schedule, the program's overall Student Headcount remains fairly strong. The Philosophy Department proposes its Student Headcount be improved further by increasing the number of sections it teaches each semester as soon as budgets allow.

5.2a Enrollment Efficiency

Enrollment Efficiency has remained over 85%.

Given 1) the intensive and rigorous nature of the Department's learning objectives and outcomes as they are applied to its Mission, 2) that this instruction is taught exclusively within transfer courses, 3) that 68% of the program instruction relies upon adjunct positions which hinder our ability to pursue our educational objectives and outcomes, and 4) that its Maximum Class Size is an inflated 35, the Philosophy Programt considers its Enrollment Efficiency results a continued sign of excellence.

5.2b Average Class Size

The program's average class size is 30.

Given the intensive and rigorous nature of the Department's learning objectives and outcomes as they are applied to its Mission, and that this instruction is provided exclusively within transfer courses, our enrollment numbers are too high and should be reduced. These bloated class sizes hinder our ability to pursue our educational objectives and outcomes. Given the writing intensive nature of our program, our instructors regularly complain that they do not have sufficient time in our work week to serve students adequately.

The considered opinion of the Philosophy faculty is that the program needs to lower its average class size by lowering it Maximum Class Size (From 35 to 25) and adding more sections.

5.3 Instructional Productivity

The Philosophy program's Instructional Productivity remains over 15.

Given the intensive and rigorous nature of the Department's learning objectives and outcomes as they are applied to its Mission, and that this instruction is provided exclusively within transfer courses, and recent District enrollment trends, the Philosophy Program considers its Instructional Productivity results a sign of continued excellence under overworked conditions.

5.4 Curriculum Currency

All of the Philosophy Program's courses have been revised to include Student Learning Outcomes, and all are currently approved. We are also currently seeking full TMC allignment for Philosophy. To this end, we have made inor adjustments to Phil 6, Phil 20 and Phil 21.

- Phil 3 Current; effective Fall 2014
- Phil 4 Current; effective Fall 2015
- Phil 5 Curremt; effective Fall 2011
- Phil 6 Curremt; effective Fall 2014
- Phil 7 Curremt; effective Fall 2011
- Phil 8 Curremt; effective Fall 2014
- Phil 9 Curremt; effective Fall 2011
- Phil 10 Curremt; effective Fall 2015
- Phil 11 Curremt; effective Fall 2015

Phil 12 - Curremt; effective Summer 2010

Phil 20 - Curremt; effective Fall 2014

Phil 21 - Curremt; effective Fall 2014

5.5 Successful Program Completion

The Philosophy program provides critical thinking courses whose completions are mandatory for all students in order for them to fulfill the requirements for virtually every other major on campus. Because of this, our coursework is indispensable to most students. Thus, the number of Philosophy majors awarded is less significant than the number of students awarded other majors who took courses in the Philosophy Dept. Of even greater significance is that such students enrolled not only in Critical Thinking in the Philosophy department, but they then chose to take additional Philosophy classes that did not pertain to their major requirements. Culturally, especially during economic recessions, majoring in Philosophy is considered taboo, yet even in such difficult times, students remain deeply interested in the study of philosophy and pursue our courses, even if they do not major in Philosophy. Philosophical thought is an important component of every undergraduate education, and its importance cannot be assessed by the number of students who complete a major in Philosophy.

The Philosophy Major is an option typically taken by students completing lower division preparation for a planned Philosophy, Pre-Law, or Pre-Med major at a four year college or university. It is worth noting that transfer institutions typically give no preference to students completing an A.A. degree in Philosophy over students who complete multiple philosophy courses without formally completing a Philosophy A.A. Degree; and, so, many students take multiple philosophy courses without being awarded an A.A. degree in Philosophy.

The Philosophy program welcomes and encourages interested Philosophy Majors while promoting it as one option for students completing lower division coursework in order to transfer to a four year college or university. As we clarify our allignment with the TMC the Philosophy Department will create an information sheet to distribute to students and counselors, a sign to be posted in classrooms and the Department, and update information about its major on the Philosophy Department web site.

5.6 Student Success

a. The Philosophy Program's retention rate was over 75%, which continues its nearly decade long trend above 70%.

b. The Philosophy Program's Successful Course Completion rate was over 71%, which continues is nearly decade long trend of 70% or above.

c. The Philosophy Program's average assigned Grade Point Average was 2.64, which continues its nearly decade long trend of 2.50 or higher.

Given the intensive and rigorous nature of the program's learning objectives and outcomes as they are applied to its Mission, and that this instruction is provided exclusively within transfer courses, the Philosophy Program considers its Student Success results a sign of continued excellence under overworked conditions. However, given the tenuous nature of its current staffing, we are concerned that these numbers will lower if its staffing requests are not approved.

5.7 Student Access

n/a.

5.8 Curriculum Offered Within Reasonable Time Frame

Due to budget constraints and inflated enrollment expectations, most of our courses are no longer offered in sufficient numbers to serve our educational Mission. One way this manifests is as administrative pressure to offer PHIL 4, PHIL 9, and PHIL 10 every other semester rather than every semester, which reduces student access. Though ofering these classes every semester likely would lower their average enrollment to the mid or low 20s, this compromise of efficiency is warranted in order to better serve students' transfer needs and, thus, our Mission.

5.9a Curriculum Responsiveness

The Philosophy program is dedicated to the goal of meeting student and community needs. In the first decade of this centure, we rewrote and expanded course offerings to address objectives related to gender, global perspectives, and American cultural diversity. In total, we teach twelve courses, offering twice the choices as most California Community College Philosophy programs.

We would like to expand even further. The program has approved the creation of an Existentialism course and discussed a Business Ethics course; but, after three full-time instructors retired four years ago, the program's FT/PT (38%/62%) ratio has halted curriculum development. We are struggling to maintain our current course loads, and consider it irresponsible to expand out course offerings until our staffing requests are approved.

5.9b Alignment with High Schools (Tech-Prep ONLY)

5.10 Alignment with Transfer Institutions (Transfer Majors ONLY)

Our courses articulate very well with our transfer institutions. Each of our major courses articulate with similar courses at the CSU and UC systems. The Philosophy major articulates very well with most UC and CSU lower-division Philosophy major requirements. When we are made aware of any instances where our courses are not accepted for tranfer, we address those cases as needed. For instance, we were able to secure acceptance of our Symbolic Logic course (Phil 4) for major tranfer at UC Berkeley. However, it has come to our attention that UC Santa Cruz does not yet acknowledge Phil 4 for philosophy major tranfer, so we will be pursuing that issue.

5.11a Labor Market Demand (Occupational Programs ONLY)

According to the Claifornia Labor Market Information data, the expected growth by 2022 for philosphy and religion teachers at the post secondary level is expected to grow by over 20% with 18.5% of that growth taking place at the junior college level.

5.11b Academic Standards

The Philosophy, Humanities and Religion Department regularly engages in collegial discussion of all manner of pedagogy and instruction. Members of the Philosophy program are in continuous dialogue about matters of academic excellence, both through departmental retreats and regular monthly meetings as well as informally through collegial exchange via email and conversation.

6.1 Progress and Accomplishments Since Last Program/Unit Review

| Rank | Location | SP | Μ | Goal | Objective | Time Frame | Progress to Date |
|------|----------|----|----|---------------------------------|---|------------|--------------------------------------|
| 0001 | ALL | 02 | 01 | Maintain currency in curriculum | Ensure all courses are updated and assessed | Academic | Requesting two new full-time faculty |
| | | | | | for SLOs as needed | year 2014- | |
| | | | | | | 2015 | |

6.2a Program/Unit Conclusions

| Location | Program/Unit Conclusions |
|----------|---|
| ALL | We are continuing our development of policies, prodcedures and relationships for the combined Philosophy, |
| | Humanties and Religious Studies programs that will enable all of the disciplines involved to both maintain |
| | autonomy and enhance their effectiveness and value to the college community. We've made great strides in this |
| | regard, though the task is ongoing and entails significant challenges due to the significant differences that exist |
| | both in the nature of our respective programs and the cultural assumptions of our prior departmental structures. |

6.2b PRPP Editor Feedback - Optional

Dean's statement

The department is vital, efficient, and provides outstanding information to the students while serving as one of the cornerstones of the college General Education requirements.

The curriculum is updated on a regular basis and strong effort is made to incorporate contemporary political and social issues into class discussions.

In the future, the Dean's Office hopes to work with faculty and the college PR office to provide greater visibility to student and faculty successes and the regionally unique class offerings.

We must note that just like many of the other A & H cluster programs, this one also is in need of additional faculty and staff support.

The Dean's Office thanks the faculty and staff of the program for their hard work and committment to excellence.

6.3a Annual Unit Plan

| Rank | Location | SP | Μ | Goal | Objective | Time Frame | Resources Required |
|------|----------|----|----|---------------------------------|---|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0001 | ALL | 02 | 01 | Maintain currency in curriculum | Ensure all courses are updated and assessed | Academic | Request two new full-time faculty |
| | | | | | for SLOs as needed | year 2014- | |
| | | | | | | 2015 | |